



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,311	10/24/2001	Cheryl L. Schumacher	01298-P0009B	2974

24126 7590 06/18/2003

ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC
986 BEDFORD STREET
STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

AHMAD, NASSER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1772	H

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ASH

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/002,311	Applicant(s) Shumacher et al.		
	Examiner Nasser Ahmad	Art Unit 1772		
-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>May 27, 2003</u>				
2a) <input type="checkbox"/> This action is FINAL. 2b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This action is non-final.				
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>14-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>7-13</u> is/are allowed.				
6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 22-27</u> is/are rejected.				
7) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.				
8) <input type="checkbox"/> Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) <input type="checkbox"/> The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) <input type="checkbox"/> The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) <input type="checkbox"/> accepted or b) <input type="checkbox"/> objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) <input type="checkbox"/> approved b) <input type="checkbox"/> disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) <input type="checkbox"/> The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) <input type="checkbox"/> All b) <input type="checkbox"/> Some* c) <input type="checkbox"/> None of: 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____. 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
14) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) <input type="checkbox"/> The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
15) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)				
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)				
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____				
4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____				
5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____				

Art Unit: 1772

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group II, claims 1-13 and 22-27 in Paper No. 3 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are not separate and distinct. This is not found persuasive because, as stated in the last Office Action of May 16, 2003, the reasons for distinctness between the two invention groups is clearly stated.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-6 and 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rice (5,569,515) in view of D'Anna (5,492,733).

Rice relates to a linerless label comprising a paper layer, a pressure sensitive adhesive (PSA) adhered to a first surface of the paper layer, and ink layer disposed on at least portions of a second surface of the paper layer, and a starch tie-coat disposed between the paper layer and the adhesive layer (col. 3, lines 5-11 and 39-45). Further, Rice also relates to the presence of an adhesive protective layer which is deemed to be functionally equivalent to the presence of a known release coating on the printed surface of the paper layer. However, Rice fails to teach the presence of a primer between the release coating and the printed surface. D'Anna discloses a paper substrate, such as a label (col. 4, lines 10-15), having a coating thereon without substantial penetration into the surface of the substrate (col. 3, lines 2-6) with the

Art Unit: 1772

motivation to impart gloss characteristics and releasability. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize D'Anna's teaching of using a primer to tie a silicone release coating to the printed side of a label in the invention of Rice.

The label of Rice would include stamp.

The primer coat of D'Anna can be partially absorbed into the paper substrate because it teaches the primer coating on the substrate is "without substantial penetration" into the substrate surface. Also, the silicone being overcoating on the primer would not be absorbed in the substrate.

Rice's label can be in a roll form (col. 4, lines 31-32).

A method making the label comprising the steps as claimed is also disclosed.

4. Claims 7-13 are free of the prior art uncovered so far in that the presence of a liner at a portion adjacent to the inner end of the strip is not taught.
5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 703-308-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.


NASSER AHMAD
PRIMARY EXAMINER

N. Ahmad/mn
June 16, 2003